

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. _____

To require the Secretary of Energy to study new technologies and opportunities for recycling spent nuclear fuel, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. CRUZ (for himself, Mr. HEINRICH, Mr. LEE, and Mr. MURPHY) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

To require the Secretary of Energy to study new technologies and opportunities for recycling spent nuclear fuel, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Advancing Research
5 in Nuclear Fuel Recycling Act of 2024”.

6 **SEC. 2. STUDY ON NEW TECHNOLOGIES TO RECYCLE**
7 **SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL.**

8 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) NATIONAL LABORATORY.—The term “Na-
2 tional Laboratory” has the meaning given the term
3 in section 2 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42
4 U.S.C. 15801).

5 (2) NUCLEAR WASTE.—The term “nuclear
6 waste” means spent nuclear fuel and high-level ra-
7 dioactive waste, as defined in section 2 of the Nu-
8 clear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (42 U.S.C. 10101).

9 (3) RECYCLING.—The term “recycling” means
10 the recovery of valuable radionuclides, including
11 fissile materials, from nuclear waste, and any subse-
12 quent processes, such as enrichment and fuel fab-
13 rication, necessary for reuse in nuclear reactors or
14 other commercial applications.

15 (4) SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL.—The term “spent
16 nuclear fuel” has the meaning given in section 2 of
17 the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (42 U.S.C.
18 10101).

19 (b) STUDY.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
21 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of
22 Energy shall seek to enter into an agreement with
23 the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering,
24 and Medicine to assemble an independent committee

1 of experts to author the study described in this sub-
2 section.

3 (2) INDIVIDUALS NOT TO BE INCLUDED.—The
4 independent committee of experts shall not include
5 any of the same individuals who authored the report,
6 “Merits and Viability of Different Nuclear Fuel Cy-
7 cles and Technology Options and the Waste Aspects
8 of Advanced Nuclear Reactors (2023)”, but those
9 same individuals may advise the independent com-
10 mittee of experts.

11 (3) INDEPENDENT COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS.—
12 The independent committee of experts shall consist
13 of subject matter experts from stakeholders, such as
14 the Office of Nuclear Energy of the Department of
15 Energy, the National Laboratories, academia, indus-
16 try, and other relevant stakeholder groups, as deter-
17 mined by the Secretary—

18 (A) to analyze the practicability, potential
19 benefits, costs, and risks, including prolifera-
20 tion, of using dedicated recycling facilities to
21 convert spent nuclear fuel, including spent high-
22 assay low-enriched uranium fuel, into useable
23 nuclear fuels, such as those for—

24 (i) commercial light water reactors;

25 (ii) advanced nuclear reactors; and

1 (iii) medical, space-based, advanced-
2 battery, and other non-reactor applications,
3 as determined by the Secretary;

4 (B) to—

5 (i) analyze the practicability, potential
6 benefits, costs, and risks of recycling spent
7 nuclear fuel, which is taken from tem-
8 porary storage sites throughout the United
9 States, and using it as fuel or input for ad-
10 vanced reactors, existing reactors, or com-
11 mercial applications;

12 (ii) compare such practicability, po-
13 tential benefits, costs, and risks of recy-
14 cling spent nuclear fuel with the practica-
15 bility, potential benefits, costs, and risks of
16 the once-through fuel cycle, including tem-
17 porary and permanent storage require-
18 ments; and

19 (iii) analyze the practicability, poten-
20 tial benefits, costs, and risks of aqueous
21 (such as PUREX and its derivatives) recy-
22 cling processes with the practicability, po-
23 tential benefits, costs, and risk of non-
24 aqueous (such as pyro-electrochemistry) re-
25 cycling processes;

1 (C) to analyze the technical and economic
2 feasibility of utilizing nuclear waste processing
3 to extract certain isotopes needed for domestic
4 and international use, including medical, indus-
5 trial, space-based power source, and advanced-
6 battery applications;

7 (D) to analyze the practicability, potential
8 benefits, costs, risks, and potential approaches
9 for coupling or collocating recycling facilities
10 with other pertinent facilities, such as advanced
11 reactors (that can use the recycled fuel), in-
12 terim storage, and fuel-fabrication facilities, in-
13 cluding—

14 (i) relevant analyses, such as capital
15 and operating cost estimates, public-pri-
16 vate partnerships to encourage investment,
17 infrastructure requirements, timeline to
18 full-scale commercial deployment, and dis-
19 tinguishing characteristics or requirements
20 of such facilities;

21 (ii) input from interested private tech-
22 nology developers and relevant assumptions
23 regarding cost; and

24 (iii) comparison with the practica-
25 bility, potential benefits, costs, and risks of

1 the once-through fuel cycle, including tem-
2 porary and permanent storage require-
3 ments;

4 (E) to identify parties, including individ-
5 uals, communities, businesses, and local and
6 Tribal governments, that are impacted economi-
7 cally, or through health, safety, or environ-
8 mental risks, by the current practice of indefi-
9 nite temporary storage of spent nuclear fuel,
10 and assess potential risks and benefits for these
11 parties should spent nuclear fuel be removed
12 from their sites for the purposes of nuclear
13 waste recycling;

14 (F) to assess different approaches for
15 siting and sizing nuclear waste recycling facili-
16 ties, including a centralized national facility, re-
17 gional facilities, on-site facilities where spent
18 nuclear fuel is currently stored, and on-site fa-
19 cilities where newly recycled fuel can be used by
20 an on-site reactor, and recommend one or more
21 approaches that consider environmental, trans-
22 portation, infrastructure, capital, and other
23 risks;

24 (G) to identify tracking and accountability
25 methods for new recycled fuel and radioactive

1 waste streams for byproducts of the recycling
2 process;

3 (H) to—

4 (i) identify any regulatory gaps re-
5 lated to nuclear waste management and re-
6 cycling, including accuracy and consistency
7 of relevant definitions for radioactive waste
8 (including “high-level radioactive waste”,
9 “spent nuclear fuel”, “low-level radioactive
10 waste”, “reprocessing”, “recycling”, and
11 “vitrification”) and classifications of radio-
12 active waste that exist in Federal law on
13 the date of enactment of this Act;

14 (ii) compare such definitions to those
15 used by other nations that manage radio-
16 active waste; and

17 (iii) make recommendations for mod-
18 ernizing such definitions; and

19 (I) to evaluate—

20 (i) potential Federal and State-level
21 policy changes to support development and
22 deployment of recycling and waste-utilizing
23 reactor technologies; and

1 (ii) impacts of spent nuclear fuel recy-
2 cling on requirements for domestic nuclear
3 waste storage.

4 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 12 months after the
5 date on which the agreement described under subsection
6 (b) is entered, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to
7 the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
8 of the Senate, the Committee on Energy and Natural Re-
9 sources of the Senate, the Committee on Energy and Com-
10 merce of the House of Representatives, the Committee on
11 Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Rep-
12 resentatives, and the Committee on Natural Resources of
13 the House of Representatives, a report that complies with
14 each of the following:

15 (1) Describes the results of the study.

16 (2) Is released to the public.

17 (3) Totals not more than 120 pages (excluding
18 Front Matter, References, and Appendices) written
19 and formatted to facilitate review by a nonspecialist
20 readership, including the following sections:

21 (A) A Front Matter section that includes a
22 cover page with identifying information, tables
23 of contents, figures, and tables.

24 (B) An Executive Summary section.

1 (C) An Introductory section that includes a
2 historical overview that also explains why recycling
3 is not performed in the United States
4 today, such as economic, political, or technological
5 obstacles.

6 (D) Results and Findings sections that
7 summarize the results and findings of the study
8 described in subsection (b).

9 (E) A Key Remaining Challenges and Barriers
10 section that identifies key technical and
11 nontechnical (such as economic) challenges and
12 barriers that need to be addressed to enable
13 scale-up and commercial adoption of spent nuclear
14 fuel recycling, with preference given to secure,
15 proliferation resistant, environmentally
16 safe, and economical recycling methods.

17 (F) A Policy Recommendations section
18 that—

19 (i) lists policy recommendations to address
20 remaining technical and nontechnical
21 (such as economic) challenges and barriers
22 to enable scale-up and commercial adoption
23 of spent nuclear fuel recycling, including
24 with government support;

1 (ii) contrasts the potential benefits
2 and risks of each policy; and

3 (iii) compares benefits to current or
4 past policies.

5 (G) An Other section in which other rel-
6 evant information may be added.

7 (H) A References section.

8 (I) An Appendices section.